GAHC010264632018



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT (HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No.: WP(C) 8272/2018

1:LAKHI DAS

D/O- LAL MOHAN DAS, W/O- MADHAB DAS, R/O- VILL- PUB- MALOIBARI, P.S. KHETRI, DIST- KAMRUP (M), ASSAM, PIN- 782403

VERSUS

1:THE UNION OF INDIA AND 8 ORS. REP. BY THE SECY., MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS, GOVT. OF INDIA, SHASTRI BHAWAN, NEW DELHI- 110001

2:THE STATE OF ASSAM REP. BY THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM HOME DEPTT. DISPUR GHY-6

3:THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA REP. BY THE CHIEF ELECTION COMMISSION NIRVACHAN SADAN ASHOKA ROAD NEW DELHI- 110001

4:THE STATE COORDINATOR
NATIONAL REGISTRATION OF CITIZENS
ASSAM
1ST FLOOR
ACHYUT PLAZA
G.S.ROAD
BHANGAGARH
GHY
ASSAM
PIN- 781005

5:THE FOREIGNERS TRIBUNAL KAMRUP (M) NO. 1

AT GHY ULUBARI GHY-7 DIST- KAMRUP (M) ASSAM

6:THE DY. COMMISSIONER KAMRUP (M) P.O. AND P.S. PANBAZAR DIST- KAMRUP (M) ASSAM PIN- 781001

7:THE DY. COMMISSIONER OF POLICE (B) CITY GHY KAMRUP (M) ASSAM PIN- 781001

8:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE (B) CITY GHY KAMRUP (M) ASSAM PIN- 781001

9:THE OFFICER-IN-CHARGE AT KHETRI P.S. P.O. KHETRI DIST- KAMRUP (M) ASSAM PIN- 78240

Advocate for the Petitioner : MS. D GHOSH

Advocate for the Respondent: ASSTT.S.G.I.

BEFORE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ACHINTYA MALLA BUJOR BARUA HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT BORTHAKUR

ORDER

Date: 08-02-2019

(A.M. Bujor Barua, J)

Heard Ms. D. Ghosh, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. A.I. Ali, learned counsel

for the Election Commission of India, Mr. J. Payeng, learned counsel for the State of Assam appearing for the Foreigners' Tribunal and Border Areas, Ms. A. Verma, learned standing counsel for the authorities under the NRC as well as Ms. G. Sarma, learned counsel for the authorities under the Union of India.

Issue notice, returnable in 6 (six) weeks. Extra copy be furnished within three days.

Call for the records of F.T. Case No.03/2017 from the Foreigners Tribunal Kamrup (Metro) No.1, Guwahati.

In the meantime, the petitioner shall not be deported.

The petitioner makes a further claim that under the proviso to Section 2 of Immigrants (Expulsion from Assam) Act, 1950 the petitioner is entitled to be not removed from India or Assam, inasmuch as, her father had migrated to India on account of civil disturbance or on account of fear of such disturbance in the area which comprise of the erstwhile East Pakistan.

We have taken note of Section 3 of the said Act of 1950 which provides that the Central Government may delegate to any of the authorities specified therein the powers to invoke the provision of Section 2 of the Act.

It being so, pendency of the writ petition shall not be a bar on the part of the petitioner to make application to the appropriate delegated authority under Section 3 to make claim for her entitlement under the proviso to Section 2 of the Act of 1950.

JUDGE JUDGE

Comparing Assistant